



**Government of India**  
**Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change**  
(Issued by the State Environment Impact Assessment  
Authority (SEIAA),  
CHHATTISGARH)

\*\*\*



**Minutes of 226th Meeting Agenda of SEIAA State Environment Impact Assessment Authority meeting held from 23/02/2026 to 23/02/2026**

Date: 01/03/2026

**MoM ID:** EC/MOM/SEIAA/614966/2/2026

**Agenda ID:** EC/AGENDA/SEIAA/614966/2/2026

**Meeting Venue:** SEAC CHHATTISGARH, PARYAVAS BHAWAN, NORTH BLOCK, SECT-19, NAVA RAIPUR ATAL NAGAR, DIST. - RAIPUR (C.G.) 492002

**Meeting Mode:** Hybrid

**Date & Time:**

23/02/2026	04:00 PM	05:30 PM
------------	----------	----------

### 1. Opening remarks

The 226th meeting of the State Level Environmental Impact Assessment Authority, Chhattisgarh (SEIAA, C.G.) was held on 23.02.2026 between 04:00 PM to 05:30 PM Mr. Polisetty Venkat Narsinga Rao, Chairman, SEIAA, Mr. Devendra Singh Bhardwaj, Member Secretary, SEIAA and Dr. Shailesh Kumar Jadhav, Member, SEIAA were present in the meeting.

### 2. Confirmation of the minutes of previous meeting

Last MOM is under preparation mode.

### 3. Details of proposals considered by the committee

#### Day 1 -23/02/2026

#### 3.1. Agenda Item No 1:

#### 3.1.1. Details of the proposal

Amendment in Environment Clearance granted for Iron Ore mining of 1.0 MTPA in lease area of 25.0 Ha. of M/s. Jayaswal Neco Industries Limited Located at - Village Metabodeli, Tehsil- Pakhanjur, District Kan ker Chhattisgarh. Req- Permission for uses the tailing in filling the low lying area / Construction sites as permitted by District Collector post beneficiation in Neco Mining Company Pvt. Ltd at village Gidhali Dis trict Balod Chhattisgarh by JAYASWAL NECO INDUSTRIES LIMITED located at KANKER,CHHATTISGARH

Proposal For		Amendment in EC	
Proposal No	File No	Submission Date	Activity (Schedule Item)
SIA/CG/MIN/525959/2025	OL/EC/MIN/KANKER/4446	26/02/2025	Mining of minerals (1(a))

### 3.1.2. Deliberations by the committee in previous meetings

**Date of SEAC 1 :**26/06/2025

**Deliberations of SEAC 1 :**

**After discussion by the committee, the following decision was taken unanimously:**

1. Project Proponent shall submit the analysis report of heavy metals present in the tailings from Government Institute like IIT/NIT etc.
2. Project Proponent shall submit the route of road map from the beneficiation plant to disposal facility areas.
3. Project Proponent shall submit the EIA study report regarding the study has been done on that route where the transportation of tailings from plant to abandoned mine or others.
4. Project Proponent informed to the Committee that tailings generated from the iron ore beneficiation plant will be utilize for back filling in low lying area/ abandoned mine/strengthening of road embankments / construction site. The above proposal is feasible in terms of Environment point of view and if it is feasible what environmental safeguards is required for disposal of tailings. As per the committee is of the opinion that an offline letter should be written to the Central Pollution Control Board regarding the feasibility of the tailing disposal.
5. Project Proponent shall obtain feasibility report and environment safeguards from CPCB regarding disposal of tailings generated from the iron ore beneficiation plant in back filling in low lying area/ abandoned mine/strengthening of road embankments / construction site.

**Date of SEAC 2 :**21/01/2026

**Deliberations of SEAC 2 :**

M/s. Jayaswal Neco Industries Limited,  
 Village- Metabodeli, Tehsil- Pakhanjur,  
 District – Uttar Bastar Kanker  
 (File no. - 4446)

Subject	Details related to the Applied Case
Online Application	Amendment in EC – 525959 Applied Date – 26/02/2025
Type of Project	Amendment in Environment Clearance
Area	25 Ha.
Khasra no.	426(P) /1305 and 427 (P) /1306
Fee Paid / Not Paid	Processing Fee Paid
Feasibility Report	Submitted
EIA Report	Submitted
Schedule 1 Species	As per EIA report no Schedule 1 species found in the study area. However, some of species mentioned in EIA are now being categorized as Schedule-I species as per new notification of WLP a amendment act 2022.
Details of previous meeting	Intimation Email for Presentation Date : 20/06/2025 657th Meeting of SEAC-3 Dated 26/06/2025
Details of current meeting	Intimation Email for Presentation Date: 09/01/2026 733rd Meeting of SEAC 3, Dated - 21/01/2026
Representatives present for presentation	Mr. Susant kumar Moitra, Director Mr. Adarsh Tiwari AGM Dr. J.K. Moitra, Consultant

The Committee noted and found the following facts: -

ADS Points of 657th Meeting Date: 26/06/2025 ADS Issued date: 04/09/2025	ADS Reply Date: 02/12/2025
Details of current meeting	Intimation Email for Presentation Date: 09/01/2026 733rd Meeting of SEAC 3, Dated - 21/01/2026
Project Proponent shall submit the analysis report of heavy metals present in the tailings from Government Institute like IIT/NIT etc.	Project Proponent has replied that the tailings generated in the process of beneficiation had been considered non-hazardous as there is no Supplied content in the trailing. M

	<p>oEF&amp;CC vide its notification namely "Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016" dated 4th of April 2016 has also clarified that " The high volume low effect wastes such as fly ash, Phosphogypsum, red mud, jarosite, Slags from pyrometallurgical operations, mine tailings and ore beneficiation rejects are excluded from the category of hazardous wastes. Separate guidelines on the management of these wastes shall be issued by Central Pollution Control Board."</p> <p>However as directed the tailing samples were sent to NIT Raipur and analysis report of heavy metals present in the iron ore tailings was carried out by Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, NIT Raipur and a report was provided vide letter dated 7th of November 2025. From analysis of the same it may be observed that the heavy metals present in the tailing is within the prescribed norms.</p>
<p>Project Proponent shall submit the route of road map from the beneficiation plant to disposal facility areas.</p>	<p>Project Proponent has replied that the iron ore tailing of JNIL generated in the beneficiation plant located at village Gidhali District - Balod is taken back by JNIL and the tailing is proposed to be disposed of in Balod and Kanker District. Consultant while preparing the EIA has done the traffic study of 10 Km radius of the mines and has assessed the environment impact of the core and buffer zone. However the route mentioned in the report is from Metabodli to Raipur (Steel plant). PP undertake that tailing will be disposed off only after obtaining permission from the respective district collector under the notification dated 06th of October 2018.</p>
<p>Project Proponent shall submit the EIA study report regarding the study has been done on that route where the transportation of tailings from plant to abandoned mine or others.</p>	<p>Project Proponent has replied that in the EIA report in Chapter 1 para 1.3.2 stated "the iron ore mine shall be utilized as a captive source of raw material of integrated steel plant in Raipur Chhattisgarh, the company proposes to install iron ore beneficiation and crushing plant at village Pondgaon, Tehsil Antagarh, District Kanker with a capacity of 1.2 MTPA" Instead of pondgaon the beneficiation is done at Village Gidhali District Balod which falls under the same route of transportation of raw material.</p>
<p>Project Proponent informed to the Committee that tailings generated from the iron ore beneficiation plant will be utilized for back filling in low lying area/ abandoned mine/strengthening of road embankments / construction site. The above proposal is feasible in terms of Environment point of view and if it is feasible what environmental safeguards is required for disposal of tailings. As per the committee is of the opinion that an offline letter should be written to the Central Pollution Control Board regarding the feasibility of the tailing disposal.</p>	
<p>Member Secretary, SEAC-3 has written a letter to Member Secretary, CPCB vide letter no. 2033 dated 15/09/2025 in this regard. However, no reply has been received till date.</p> <p>Project Proponent vide letter dated 18.01.2026 has informed that "We are engaging NABET accredited consultant for aforesaid studies and report will be submitted within 15 days to CPCB. Till the SOP is issued by CPCB, we would request the Chairman and the Committee members to permit disposal based on the SOP of Mines and Mineral Department Government of Chhattisgarh issued on 6th on October 2018 in consultation with CECB. We will also submit an undertaking that once the SOP of CPCB is notified we will abide</p>	

e by the same. With this we would request the Hon'ble Chairman and Committee Members to grant the a mendment in EC so that the mines is operated within the stipulated guidelines.”

Project Proponent shall obtain feasibility report and environment safeguards from CPCB regarding disposal of tailings generated from the iron ore beneficiation plant in back filling in low lying area/ abandoned mine/strengthening of road embankments / construction site.

Project Proponent has replied that as directed vide letter dated 08.09.2025 the company had approached CPCB for preparing feasibility report. While during our discussion with the Concern official of CPCB Bhopal, it was clarified that at no such report can be prepared for any individual organization by CPCB.

In view of the same project proponent had appointed a NABL accredited consultant vide order dated 25.10.2025 for conducting a study and prepare the safe and scientific method for disposal of iron ore tailing.

The consultant has prepared a detailed report and the same is enclosed. It had been advised in the report that trailing generated may be used in back filling in low laying areas/Abandoned mine/strengthening of road embankment/construction site in Kanker, Balod and Durg District.

After submitting the above documents, further action will be taken accordingly.

After detailed deliberation on the documents and information provided by the project proponent, the committee unanimously recommended the amendment by insertion of following additional condition in Environmental Clearance for Iron ore mining capacity - 1.0 MTPA at khasra no. 426(P) /1305 and 427 (P) /1306, Area- 25 Hectare at Village- Metabodeli, Tehsil- Pakhanjur, District-Uttar Bastar Kanker under the EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended) listed in Schedule 1(a) Mining of minerals under Category “B1” issued by SEIAA vide letter dated 17.04.2017 and subsequent amendments:-

“Project proponent shall prepare work plan for disposal of iron ore tailings as per SOP prepared by CPCB/CECB and in compliance of notification issued by Minerals Resource Department, Government of Chhattisgarh for disposal of tailings and get it approved by CECB. Project proponent shall obtain permission for safe and scientific disposal of tailings as per work plan prepared as above from committee formed or headed by concerned District Collector.”

The above amendment in Environmental Clearance is recommended subject to following conditions:-

1. An offline letter to be issued to CECB for preparation of SOP for tailings management within 06 months. CECB must ensure that unscientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out in the state till the issuance of SOP by CECB. Project proponent shall ensure that unsafe and non scientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out till the preparation of SOP.
2. All other terms and conditions incorporated in Environmental Clearances issued earlier for the project shall remain unchanged.
3. Project proponent shall prepare work plan for disposal of iron ore tailings as per SOP prepared by CPCB/CECB and in compliance of notification issued by Minerals Resource Department, Government of Chhattisgarh. Project proponent shall get work plan approved by CECB. Project proponent shall obtain permission for safe and scientific disposal of tailings as per work plan prepared as above from committee formed or headed by concerned District Collector. Project proponent shall ensure that unsafe and non scientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out till the preparation of SOP.

### 3.1.3. Deliberations by the SEIAA in current meetings

SEIAA in its 226h Meeting held on 23/02/2026 perused the case and minutes of the 733rd Meeting of SEAC3, Dated 21/01/2026.

It is noted that Original application is for Amendment in Environment Clearance. SEAC has recommended for the amendment by insertion of following additional condition in Environmental Clearance for Iron ore mining capacity - 1.0 MTPA at khasra no. 426(P) /1305 and 427 (P) /1306, Area- 25 Hectare at Village- Metabodeli,

Tehsil- Pakhanjur, District-Uttar Bastar Kanker under the EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended) listed in Schedule 1(a) Mining of minerals under Category "B1" issued by SEIAA vide letter dated 17.04.2017.

The above amendment in Environmental Clearance is recommended by SEAC subject to following conditions:-  
"Project proponent shall prepare work plan for disposal of iron ore tailings as per SOP prepared by CPCB/CECB and in compliance of notification issued by Minerals Resource Department, Government of Chhattisgarh for disposal of tailings and get it approved by CECB. Project proponent shall obtain permission for safe and scientific disposal of tailings as per work plan prepared as above from committee formed or headed by concerned District Collector."

The above amendment in Environmental Clearance is recommended subject to following conditions:-

1. An offline letter to be issued to CECB for preparation of SOP for tailings management within 06 months. CECB must ensure that unscientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out in the state till the issuance of SOP by CECB. Project proponent shall ensure that unsafe and non scientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out till the preparation of SOP.
2. All other terms and conditions incorporated in Environmental Clearances issued earlier for the project shall remain unchanged.
3. Project proponent shall prepare work plan for disposal of iron ore tailings as per SOP prepared by CPCB/CECB and in compliance of notification issued by Minerals Resource Department, Government of Chhattisgarh. Project proponent shall get work plan approved by CECB. Project proponent shall obtain permission for safe and scientific disposal of tailings as per work plan prepared as above from committee formed or headed by concerned District Collector. Project proponent shall ensure that unsafe and non scientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out till the preparation of SOP.

**The Authority noted and analysed the above conditions and found the following facts:-**

1. SEAC has recommended for the amendment by insertion of condition regarding disposal of iron ore tailings. Committee has recommended that the tailings generated during beneficiation of iron ore shall be disposed as per SOP prepared by CPCB/CECB and in compliance of notification issued by Minerals Resource Department, Government of Chhattisgarh. Project proponent shall get work plan approved by CECB. Project proponent shall obtain permission for safe and scientific disposal of tailings as per work plan prepared as above from committee formed or headed by concerned District Collector. Project proponent shall ensure that unsafe and non scientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried. Committee has asked CECB for preparation of SOP for tailings management within 06 months. However, it has not been clarified how the disposal of tailings shall be carried out till the preparation of SOP.
2. During deliberation, the Authority observed that the said recommendation does not clearly specify the interim management and disposal mechanism for safe and scientific disposal of tailings to be followed by the Project Proponent during the intervening period, i.e., till the SOP is prepared, finalized and notified by CECB. The absence of interim mechanism for safe and scientific disposal of tailings and safeguards may lead to ambiguity in compliance and environmental management.
3. Therefore, the Authority decided to refer the proposal to SEAC for appraisal on the above points. The SEAC is requested to analyze, appraise in a scientific way and to give specific recommendations regarding the handling, storage, utilization and/or disposal of tailings to be adopted for safe and scientific disposal of in environmentally sound way by the Project Proponent until the SOP is prepared and notified by CECB. The proposal shall be reconsidered by SEIAA upon receipt of recommendations on the above issues.

### **3.1.4. Recommendation of SEIAA**

Refer-Back to SEAC for Reconsideration

## **3.2. Agenda Item No 2:**

### **3.2.1. Details of the proposal**

<b>Enhancement of Iron Ore Mining Capacity from the present 2.95 MTPA to 6.00 MTPA (Including Crushing and Screening) and 2 MTPA Iron Ore Beneficiation Plant within the mining lease area of 192.25 Hectare located at Village Chhotedongar, Tehsil and District Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh. by JAYASWAL NECO INDUSTRIES LIMITED located at NARAYANPUR, CHHATTISGARH</b>			
<b>Proposal For</b>		Expansion EC	
<b>Proposal No</b>	<b>File No</b>	<b>Submission Date</b>	<b>Activity (Schedule Item)</b>
SIA/CG/MIN/549464/2025	OL/TOR/STONE/RAIPUR/3167B	29/08/2025	Mining of minerals (1(a))

### 3.2.2. Deliberations by the committee in previous meetings

<p><b>Date of SEAC 1 :</b>18/11/2025</p> <p><b>Deliberations of SEAC 1 :</b></p> <p><b>After discussion by the committee, the following decision was taken unanimously:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Project proponent shall submit the project cost details along with existing and additional project cost.</li> <li>2. Project Proponent shall submit a certificate regarding the distance from the nearest national park, sanctuary, biodiversity area.</li> <li>3. Project Proponent shall submit the action taken report against the non-compliance conditions mentioned in the CCR.</li> <li>4. Project Proponent shall submit the Wildlife Conservation Plan of the Schedule-1 species found in the study area.</li> <li>5. Project Proponent shall submit the plantation details along with plant species of 7.5 meter wide safety area.</li> <li>6. Project Proponent shall submit work progress report of previous CER activities.</li> <li>7. Project Proponent shall submit a proposal of Corporate Environment Responsibility of additional investment.</li> <li>8. Project Proponent shall submit complete information about CA land.</li> <li>9. Project Proponent shall submit a draft for issuing final environmental clearance, incorporating the previously issued environmental clearances.</li> <li>10. Project Proponent shall submit a report on slope monitoring study.</li> <li>11. Project Proponent shall submit affidavit regarding not to make ECO Park.</li> <li>12. Project Proponent shall submit tailing management plan.</li> <li>13. Project proponent shall submit an affidavit stating that water audits will be conducted regularly from 2026-27 onwards. The reason for not conducting water audits until now must also be provided.</li> <li>14. Project proponent shall submit details proposal of CER.</li> <li>15. Project proponent shall submit location of traffic study in KML file.</li> </ol>
--

<p><b>Date of SEAC 2 :</b>21/01/2026</p>
--

**Deliberations of SEAC 2 :  
M/S Jayaswal Neco Industries Limited  
Village-Chhotedongar,  
Tehsil and District-Narayanpur  
File No. 3167B**

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Details related to the Applied Case</b>
Online Application	EC Proposal no. – 549464 Applied Date - 29/08/2025
Name of Project	Enhancement of Iron Ore Mining Capacity from the present 2.95 MTPA to 6.00 MTPA (Including Crushing and Screening) and 2 MTPA Iron Ore Beneficiation Plant within the mining lease area of 192.25 Hectare located at Village Chhotedongar, Tehsil and District Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh.
Nature of Project	Expansion
Applied Area & Capacity	192.25 Ha (Forest Area) Mining capacity – from 2.95 MTPA to 6.0 MTPA Beneficiation Plant - 1.00 MTPA to 2.0 MTPA
Khasra no.	255 (P) – 13.80 Ha, 256(P) – 16.20 Ha, 257(P) – 12.30 Ha, 258 (P) – 17.70Ha, 259(P) – 50.86 Ha, 260 (P)- 11.25 Ha, 261(P)-6.25 Ha, 262(P)-1.70Ha, 263(P)-13.50Ha, 264 (P) – 43.49Ha and 265 (P) – 5.10Ha Total – 192.25Ha
Fee Paid / Not Paid	Not Paid
EIA Report	Submitted
Schedule 1 Species	Mentioned in ADS Point
Details of previous meeting	Intimation Email for Presentation Date : 06/11/2025 704th Meeting of SEAC-3 Dated 18/11/2025
Details of current meeting	Intimation Email for Presentation Date: 09/01/2026 733rd Meeting of SEAC 3, Dated - 21/01/2026
Representatives present for presentation	Mr. Susant kumar Moitra, Director Mr. Adarsh Tiwari AGM Mr. Parag Khujnare, EIA Coordinator

**The Committee noted and found the following facts: -**

<b>ADS Points of 704th Meeting Date: 18/11/2025 ADS Issued date: 23/12/2025</b>	<b>ADS Reply Date: 31/12/2025</b>
Details of current meeting	Intimation Email for Presentation Date: 09/01/2026

Project proponent shall submit the project cost details along with existing and additional project cost.

**Reply:**

Particulars	Investment as on 30th of June 2025 (data submitted on draft EIA for Public Consultation)	Proposed (Additional) Project Cost	Total project cost
NPV payment for 192.25 Hectare	16.95	---	16.95
Land for Compensatory afforestation. 35.74 Hectare	0.36	---	0.36
Land for Compensatory Afforestation 55.26 Hectare	1.26	---	1.26
Land for Compensatory Afforestation 101.25 Hectare	11.84	---	11.84
Differential NPV paid	7.36	---	7.36
Differential compensatory afforestation charges paid	0.35	---	0.35
CA Charges for Safety Zone	0.08	---	0.08
Soil and moisture conservation Charges Paid	0.69	---	0.69
Wild Life Conservations Charges Paid	1.05	1.00	2.05
Mine Building and Other infrastructure	9.63	---	9.63
Environment Protection measures	1.44	---	1.44
Beneficiation Plant	33.85	61.97	95.82
<b>Total cost incurred</b>	<b>85.58</b>	<b>62.97</b>	<b>148.55</b>

Project Proponent shall submit a certificate regarding the distance from the nearest national park, sanctuary, biodiversity area.

Project proponent has submitted a certificate issued by Additional PCCF, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur vide letter no. 468 dated 28/01/2020.

It is mentioned in the letter that there is no National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Wild life Corridor, Tiger/Elephant Reserve (earlier established or proposed) within 10 km radius of the iron ore mining area.

Project Proponent shall submit the action taken report against the non-compliance conditions mentioned in the CCR.

Project proponent has submitted the action taken report against the non-compliance conditions mentioned in the CER dated 22/05/2025 to IRO, Raipur.

Project Proponent shall submit the Wildlife Conservation Plan of the Schedule-1 species found in the study area.

Project Proponent has submitted the comprehensive Wild life Conservation Plan amended in 2023-24 wherein schedule 1 species had been revised. Based on the same a wild life conservation plan had been prepared and submitted for approval to the office of the Chief Wildlife Warden, C.G. vide letter dated 06.11.2025.

List of Mammals:-

Common Name	Schedule
-------------	----------

Jackal	I
Sambar	I
Indian Grey Mongoose	I
Indian Porcupine	I
Leopard	I
Jungle Cat	I
Indian Wolf	I
Four Horned Antelope	I
Indian Pangolin	I

List of Birds :-

Common Name	Schedule
Indian Great Horned Owl	I
JungliMurgji	I
Baaz	I
Common Indian Peafowl	I

List of Reptiles :-

Common Name	Schedule
Russels Viper	I
Indian Cobra	
Common Rat Snake	
Rock Python	
Bengal Monitor Lizard	

Project Proponent shall submit the plantation details along with plant species of 7.5 meter wide safety area.

Project Proponent has replied that on the total mining lease area of 192.25 hectare, the safety zone area will be 8 hectares encircling the entire ML area with a 7.5 Meter wide corridor. The total plants available in the region of 7.5 meter wide corridor is around 16000 in numbers. The same will be maintained in the area of 8 hectares for the remaining life of mine. Gap plantation as may be required from time to time will be done. Local species like Palash, Saal, Teak, Arjun, Semal, Seesam, Imli, Peepal, Ber are existing and while planning for gap plantation in case necessary will be done through the same local species. A Budgetary provision of ₹ 4.50 Lacs will be kept for the same and will only be utilized for gap plantation in the safety zone and its maintenance there off.

Project Proponent shall submit work progress report of previous CER activities.

Particulars of work undertaken in CER	Budget	Expenditure as on September 2025	Remarks
Facility to provide clean and safe drinking water	3.00	48.25	The budget was superseded by 145.25 lacs as the facilities demanded by villagers and assessed by us were more than what was planned.
Improving the quality of education	15.50	24.30	The budget was superseded by { 8.80 lacs actual requirement was

			more than what was budgeted.
Plantation	2.00	1.50	It is an ongoing activity.
Infrastructure Development	29.50	1283	Lots of amount had been spent on road development inside the village as well as on the State Highway connecting Gadbagal to Chhotedongar total 45 Kms.
Health	29.50	17.72	Its ongoing activity and is done periodically.
Sports development	7.00	16.63	This has been done as per the requirement of District Administration as well as local villagers.
Eco-Park and other Environment Conservation Measures	160.00	0.00	Land for development of ECO-Park could not be allotted by District administration or local villagers; hence no expenditure could be done.
<b>Total</b>	<b>246.50</b>	<b>1351.00</b>	

Project proponent shall submit a proposal of CER of additional investment.

The additional cost of Project is estimated as ₹ 63 Crore. Hence, the additional investment of CER on the project would be around 83 Lakhs, which is 1.31% of the total project cost. The proposed CER expenditure breakup will be as under:-

Particulars	Proposed Expenditure (in lacs)	Remarks
Women empowerment	4	Will be done in the first year.
Environmental Sustainability like solar / RO /lit Sanitation	15	Will be done in the first year.
Health Care and Sanitation and providing safe drinking water	15	Will be done in the second year.
Promotion of Education and Training including development of online examination centre in Narayanpur	25	Will be done in the second year.
Environmental Sustainability like solar / RO /Sanitation	13	Will be done in the second year.
Community welfare, Protection of national heritage, protection of traditional art and culture	11	Will be done in the third year.
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>	

Project Proponent shall submit complete information about CA land.

Complete information of Compensatory Afforestation land provided by project proponent is as under :-

Particulars (area i	Area provided forCompensator	Location and details o	Notification detail
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------------	---------------------

Area (hectare)	Compensatory Afforestation	Area of the land provided for Compensatory Afforestation.	Remarks
35.74	82.12	Abhanpur A, District Kanker Tehsil Narharpur forest division Kanker, Patwari Halka No. 21 = 19.65 hectare Abhanpur B District Kanker Tehsil Narharpur forest division Kanker Patwari Halka No. 21 = 18.24 Naodabri B District Kanker Tehsil Narharpur forest division Kanker PATWARI Halka No. 3 = 22.75 Paschim Dhawrai District and Tehsil Naraynpur forest Division Naraynpur Patwari Halka No. 29 = 21.48 Total Area 82.12 hectares	Two notifications were issued on 8th June 2022 We had provided land in the ratio of 1:2, hence our share is 72 Hectares.
55.26	55.260	Katholi District Kanker Forest Division Kanker Block A = 17.34 Katholi District Kanker Forest Division Kanker Block B = 23.50 Kasagaon District Kanker Forest Division Kanker 14.420 Total 55.26 hectare	Notification dated 17th March 2022. (Page - 238)
101.25	101.25	Village Pimplesenda, Anjani, Barbada, Mangkhini, Choudhara, Dongar Khherda, Delona, Sarad, Tehsil Kalambh, District Yavatmal, Maharashtra = 101.25 hectare	Transfer of land to forest division is in process.
<b>192.25</b>	<b>238.63</b>		

Project Proponent shall submit a draft for issuing final environmental clearance, incorporating the previously issued environmental clearances.

Project proponent has submitted the draft environmental clearance.

Project Proponent shall submit a report on slope monitoring study.

Project proponent has submitted the report of slope monitoring study which was conducted by AKS University Satna, Madhya Pradesh.

Project Proponent shall submit affidavit regarding not to make ECO Park.

Project proponent has submitted a notarized affidavit for the same.

Project Proponent shall submit tailing management plan.

Project Proponent has submitted tailing management plan as below:

“75% of the fines fed in the beneficiation plant will be recovered in the form of iron ore concentrate. 25% of the balance material which will contain < 35% Fe will be considered as tailings. During the full operation of the beneficiation plant around 0.50 MTPA of tailing will be generated every year.

The beneficiation plant is installed with filter press, which will press and compact the reject tailing, through which the entire water will be recovered and taken back in the system. The compacted tailing will be stacked in a specified area temporarily earmarked. In this area where the tailing will be stacked will be properly kept with garland drains around.

Terracing (if required) shall be maintained in tailing dumps. Height of tailing dump shall be maintained in such a way that each terrace height is maintained at 5 m max. Alternative use of tailing like in making of paver tiles, cement making etc. shall be explored subject to clearance from statutory authorities. Tailing Dumps shall be kept stabilized by use of Vetiver grasses/ geo textile matting /suitable means as required.

These tailings will be subsequently used for back filling of the mined-out query in a periodic and scientific manner. Since tailing is not hazardous as per the categorization of hazardous waste notification 2016 issued by MOEF&CC, hence no additional precaution is required for backfilling of tailings in the mined out pit.

Tailing if required by the villagers will be provided after due approval from the District Administration, wherein an SOP had been defined by the State Government vide a Notification dated 6th of October 2018 empowering the District Collector for safe and scientific disposal of tailing in the village area for backfilling of low lying area or for the construction activity or for road making compactness etc.”

Project proponent shall submit an affidavit stating that water audits will be conducted regularly from 2026-27 onwards. The reason for not conducting water audits until now must also be provided.

Project proponent has submitted a notarized affidavit for the same. Further PP has informed that as per guidelines of CGWA, water audit is required when the consumption exceeds 100 KLD while the same for project was below 50 KLD (average).

Project proponent shall submit details proposal of CER.

The additional cost of Project is estimated as ₹ 63 Crore. Hence, the additional investment of CER on the project would be around 83 Lakhs, which is 1.31% of the total project cost. The proposed CER expenditure breakup will be as under:-

Particulars	Proposed Expenditure (in lacs)	Remarks
Women empowerment	4	Will be done in the first year.
Environmental Sustainability like solar / RO /lit Sanitation	15	Will be done in the first year.
Health Care and Sanitation and providing safe drinking water	15	Will be done in the second year.
Promotion of Education and Training including development of online examination centre in Narayanpur	25	Will be done in the second year.
Environmental Sustainability like solar / RO /Sanitation	13	Will be done in the second year.
Community welfare, Protection of national heritage, protection of traditional art and culture	11	Will be done in the third year.
<b>Total</b>	<b>83</b>	

Project proponent shall submit location of traffic study in KML file.

**Reply of Project proponent is as below:**

Location of traffic study has been done on both side of Chhotedongar- Orchha as well as on the other side Chhotedongar - Dhaudai.

We have also requested during the presentation that all the previous EC's issued in the regard should be merged and a consolidated EC may be provided superseding all other EC's. The same may be considered for operation convenience.

We hope that the above reply is precisely as per the query raised in the MOM in the form of ADS. Based on the same we request you to assess and grant us an amendment in EC at the earliest.

**After submitting the above documents, further action will be taken accordingly.**

**After detailed deliberation on the documents and information provided by the project proponent, the committee unanimously recommended the Composite Environmental Clearance (including previous Environmental Clearances granted for the project) for Enhancement of Iron Ore Mining Capacity from the present 2.95 MTPA to 6.00 MTPA (Including Crushing and Screening) and 2 MTPA Iron Ore Beneficiation Plant within the mining lease area bearing Khasra no. 255(P) - 13.80 Ha, 256(P) - 16.20 Ha, 257(P) - 12.30 Ha, 258(P) - 17.70 Ha, 259(P) - 50.86 Ha, 260 (P)- 11.25 Ha, 261(P)-6.25 Ha, 262(P)-1.70Ha, 263(P)-13.50 Ha, 264 (P) - 43.49 Ha and 265 (P), 192.25 Hectare located at Village - Chhotedongar, Tehsil and District - Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh under the EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended) listed in Schedule 1(a) Mining of minerals and 2(b) Mineral beneficiation under Category "B1".**

1. An offline letter to be issued to CECB for preparation of SOP for tailings management within 06 months. CECB must ensure that there is no unscientific disposal of tailings in the state of Chhattisgarh without the SOP of CECB. Project proponent shall ensure that unsafe and non scientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out till the preparation of SOP.

### **3.2.3. Deliberations by the SEIAA in current meetings**

SEIAA in its 226h Meeting held on 23/02/2026 perused the case and minutes of the 733rd Meeting of SEAC-3, Dated 21/01/2026.

It is noted that original application for the Enhancement of Iron Ore Mine, Capacity from the present 2.95 MTPA to 6.00 MTPA (Including Crushing and Screening) and 2 MTPA Iron Ore Beneficiation Plant within the mining. SEAC has recommended for **grant Environmental Clearance for for the Enhancement of Iron Ore Mining Capacity from the present 2.95 MTPA to 6.00 MTPA (Including Crushing and Screening) and 2 MTPA Iron Ore Beneficiation Plant within the mining lease area bearing Khasra no. 255(P) - 13.80 Ha, 256(P) - 16.20 Ha, 257(P) - 12.30 Ha, 258(P) - 17.70 Ha, 259(P) - 50.86 Ha, 260 (P)- 11.25 Ha, 261(P)-6.25 Ha, 262(P)-1.70Ha, 263(P)-13.50 Ha, 264 (P) - 43.49 Ha and 265 (P), 192.25 Hectare located at Village - Chhotedongar, Tehsil and District - Narayanpur, Chhattisgarh, under the EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended) listed in Schedule 1(a) Mining of minerals and 2(b) Mineral beneficiation under Category "B1" subject to the specific conditions inclusive of following condition regarding disposal of tailings:-**

-  
"Project proponent shall prepare work plan for disposal of iron ore tailings as per SOP prepared by CPCB/CECB and in compliance of notification issued by Minerals Resource Department, Government of Chhattisgarh for disposal of tailings and get it approved by CECB. Project proponent shall obtain permission for safe and scientific disposal of tailings as per work plan prepared as above from committee formed or headed by concerned District Collector."

1. An offline letter to be issued to CECB for preparation of SOP for tailings management within 06 months. CECB must ensure that there is no unscientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out in the state till the issuance of SOP by CECB. Project proponent shall ensure that unsafe and non scientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out till the preparation of SOP.
2. Project proponent shall prepare work plan for disposal of iron ore tailings as per SOP prepared by CPCB/CECB and in compliance of notification issued by Minerals Resource Department, Government of

Chhattisgarh. Project proponent shall get work plan approved by CECB. Project proponent shall obtain permission for safe and scientific disposal of tailings as per work plan prepared as above from committee formed or headed by concerned District Collector. Project proponent shall ensure that unsafe and non scientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried out till the preparation of SOP.

The Authority noted and analysed the above conditions and found the following facts:-

1. SEAC has recommended for EC with the condition for disposal of iron ore tailings. Committee has recommended that the tailings generated during beneficiation of iron ore shall be disposed as per SOP prepared by CPCB/CECB and in compliance of notification issued by Minerals Resource Department, Government of Chhattisgarh. Project proponent shall get work plan approved by CECB. Project proponent shall obtain permission for safe and scientific disposal of tailings as per work plan prepared as above from committee formed or headed by concerned District Collector. Project proponent shall ensure that unsafe and non scientific disposal of tailings shall not be carried. Committee has asked CECB for preparation of SOP for tailings management within 06 months. However, it has not been clarified how the disposal of tailings shall be carried out till the preparation of SOP.
2. During deliberation, the Authority observed that the said recommendation does not clearly specify the interim management and disposal mechanism for safe and scientific disposal of tailings to be followed by the Project Proponent during the intervening period, i.e., till the SOP is prepared, finalized and notified by CECB. The absence of interim mechanism for safe and scientific disposal of tailings and safeguards may lead to ambiguity in compliance and environmental management.
3. Therefore, the Authority decided to refer the proposal to SEAC for appraisal on the above points. The SEAC is requested to analyze, appraise in a scientific way and to give specific recommendations regarding the handling, storage, utilization and/or disposal of tailings to be adopted for safe and scientific disposal in an environmentally sound way by the Project Proponent until the SOP is prepared and notified by CECB. The proposal shall be reconsidered by SEIAA upon receipt of recommendations on the above issues

### 3.2.4. Recommendation of SEIAA

Refer-Back to SEAC for Reconsideration

### 3.3. Agenda Item No 3:

#### 3.3.1. Details of the proposal

<b>M/s Radhe Minerals , patora Limestone area 1.77hec. by PRAHLAD RUNGTA located at DURG, CHHATTISGARH</b>			
<b>Proposal For</b>		<b>Fresh ToR</b>	
<b>Proposal No</b>	<b>File No</b>	<b>Submission Date</b>	<b>Activity (Schedule Item)</b>
SIA/CG/MIN/548813/2025	OL/TOR/MIN/DURG/4711	01/09/2025	Mining of minerals (1(a))

#### 3.3.2. Deliberations by the committee in previous meetings

**Date of SEAC 1 :08/01/2026**

### Deliberations of SEAC 1 :

The Committee noted and found the following facts:-

- Excavation has been done in the restricted 7.5 meter wide boundary strip. An offline letter should be written to the Director, Directorate of Geology and Mining and Chhattisgarh Environment Conservation Board, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar for taking necessary action as per the rules for causing damage to the environment.
- In KML the Committee found that excavation has been carried out outside the mining lease area. The Committee decided that an offline letter should be written to the Directorate, Geology and Mining, Indravati Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar and Mining Officer, District- Durg stating whether excavation has been carried out outside the mining lease area by the project proponent or not. If excavated then, how much quantity is excavated outside the mine lease area?

After detailed discussion on the matter, the Committee unanimously agreed to recommend the grant of Standard Terms of Reference (ToR) for the proposed Limestone (Minor Mineral) Mining Project having production capacity of 40,000 TPA and lease area of 1.77 hectare, located at Khasra Nos. 466, 467 and 475, Village-Patora, Tehsil-Patan, District-Durg, Chhattisgarh.

The Committee noted that the proposal falls under Category 1(a) (Mining of Minerals) of the EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended) and is classified as Category 'B1'. Accordingly, the Committee recommended issuance of Standard ToR (including Public Hearing) as prescribed under the EIA Notification, 2006, along with the additional ToR for non-coal mining projects, as per the EIA/EMP guidelines issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), April 2015.

### 3.3.3. Deliberations by the SEIAA in current meetings

SEIAA in its 226h Meeting held on 23/02/2026 perused the case and minutes of the 728th Meeting of SEAC-3, Dated 08/01/2026.

It is noted that applied case is under Category 1(a) (Mining of Minerals) of the EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended) and is classified as Category 'B1'. Original application is for mining of Lime Stone (Minor Minerals) capacity- 40,000 TPA. After deliberation, SEIAA, unanimously accepted the recommendation of SEAC-3, Chhattisgarh and decided to **grant Terms of Reference (ToR) with Public Hearing for Lime Stone (Minor Minerals) capacity- 40,000 TPA, Area- 1.77 Hectare, khasra no.- 466, 467 and 475, at Village - Patora, Tehsil-Patan, District-Durg, Chhattisgarh.** The authority decided to issue Standard ToR (including Public Hearing) as prescribed under the EIA Notification, 2006, along with the additional ToR for non-coal mining projects, as per the EIA/EMP guidelines issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), April 2015.

**All the conditions/Terms of Reference (ToR) enumerated and laid down by SEAC-3 in their recommendation are to be strictly followed by all concerned in letter and spirit.**

### 3.3.4. Recommendation of SEIAA

Approved

### 3.3.5. Details of Terms of Reference

#### 3.3.5.1. Specific

##### Additional Terms of Reference:

- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 1. | Additional Terms of Reference:<br>Project proponent shall inform SEIAA & S.E.A.C. Chhattisgarh before commencement of Baseline Data |
|----|---|

Generation and start of monitoring work for preparation of EIA Study Report.

Project Proponent shall submit top soil management plan.

Project proponent shall submit the Individual Environment Management Plan and Common Environment Management Plan.

Project proponent shall obtain certificate from the competent authority regarding the distance of the National Park, Sanctuary etc. from the nearest mine boundary.

Project proponent shall submit the details of land owner and their consent for mining.

Project proponent shall submit the detail water balance chart.

Project proponent shall submit a ground water table report with proper study.

Project proponent shall submit the NOC for usage of water from competent authority.

Project proponent shall submit the details of monitoring equipments along with its specification.

Project proponent shall monitor as per the Methodology issued by MoEF&CC.

Monitoring of Air and Water quality should be carried out by calibrated instruments and calibration certificate should be attached and also tagged geotag photographs of every equipment's and monitoring locations.

Project proponent shall submit Information about plantation including numbering and Geotag photographs mentioning their name and species in the plants to be planted.

Project Proponent should be submitted an affidavit regarding the submission of information about the works scheduled under Geotag along with photographs in the half-yearly report.

Project proponent shall submit an affidavit for commitment of assurance to the public (Objections/suggestions raised by public) during Public Hearing.

Project proponent shall ensure that mining lease area to be demarcated by erection of boundary pillars at all corner and area to be fenced with Chain Link.

EIA study shall be done at minimum 10 no. of stations (atleast 4 location shall be taken in the transportation route) for data collection considering the pre-dominant wind direction.

Project proponent shall submit the copy of panchnama and photographs of every monitoring station.

Project proponent shall submit a Environment Impact Assessment Study (Air, Water, Noise, Soil, Traffic etc) of the mines located in the nearby area and Ecology of the buffer zone of study area and shall incorporate the same in the EIA report.

Project proponent shall submit an affidavit stating that no harm, no damage and no contamination shall be committed to nearby water bodies. Nearby water tanks, rivers & nalla shall be protected.

Project Proponent shall submit an undertaking that the top soil & over burden would be stacked at the earmarked place and shall use the same in plantation and backfilling of the mined out area.

The project proponent shall submit an undertaking that there is no court case pending relating to this project before any Court of Law in India.

The project proponent shall submit an undertaking in the form of an affidavit stating that there is no violation of Notification S.O. 804(E) dated 14/03/2017 issued by Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India and the direction given by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of Common Cause vs Union of India order dated 02.08.2017.

Project proponent shall submit the DPR (Detailed Project Report) and undertake plantation & incorporate in the EIA report.

Project proponent shall submit the DPR (Detailed Project Report) of 5 years & undertake plantation (as far as possible tree species) within the mining lease area as per guidelines issued from time to time and particularly in the 7.5 meter safety zone area of minimum 05 feet height and shall maintain minimum 90% survival rate. The plantation shall be maintained by project proponent for atleast 5 years.

Project proponent shall submit half yearly reports regarding compliance to the Authority. The details to be submitted along with Geotag photographs in the EIA report.

Project proponent shall submit DPR (Detailed Project Report) of CER proposals with details of works along with their estimates including land cost and incorporating the plant cost, fertilizer cost, irrigation cost and maintenance cost for atleast 5 years & incorporate in the EIA report.

Project proponent shall obtain the permission from DGMS (Explosive License Holder) for controlled blasting & incorporate the permission in the EIA report.

Project Proponent shall submit the copy of CTE/CTO (if any) issued by CECB for applied case.

Project Proponent shall submit Drone video of Mine lease area.

Project Proponent shall prepare wildlife management plan for Schedule-I species as per the latest amendment in WLP act (if applicable) and get it approved by PCCF (WL). PP shall submit the amount in the specified PCCF (WL) account and submit the details in the SEAC/SEIAA within one month after the

	<p>grant of EC.</p> <p>Project proponent shall submit the affidavit stating that any action taken by SEIAA/SEAC, CECB and Mining department with respect to excavation in 7.5 m safety zone or any excavation done outside the mine lease area shall be acceptable to the Project Proponent.</p>
--	--

**3.3.5.2. Standard**

1(a)	<b>Mining of minerals</b>
null	
1.	<p><b>Standard Term of References:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proper KML file with pin drop and coordinate of mine at 500-1000 m interval be provided.</li> <li>2. A Study area map of the core zone (project area) and 10 km area of the buffer zone (1: 50,000 scale) clearly delineating the major topographical features such as the land use, surface drainage pattern including rivers/ streams/ nallas/ canals, locations of human habitations and other polluting sources. In case of ecologically sensitive areas such as Biosphere Reserves/National Parks/WL Sanctuaries/ Elephant Reserves, forests (Reserved/ Protected), migratory corridors of fauna, and areas where endangered fauna and plants of medicinal and economic importance found in the 10 km study area should be given. The above details to be furnished in tabular form also. Map showing the core zone delineating the agricultural land (irrigated and un-irrigated, uncultivable land as defined in the revenue records, forest areas (as per records), along with other physical features such as water bodies, etc should be furnished.</li> <li>3. A contour map showing the area drainage of the core zone and 10 km of the study area (where the water courses of the core zone ultimately join the major rivers/streams outside the lease/project area) should also be clearly indicated in the separate map.</li> <li>4. Catchment area with its drainage map of 10 km area within and outside the mine shall be provided with names, details of rivers/ riverlet system and its respective order. The map should clearly indicate drainage pattern of the catchment area with basin of major rivers.</li> <li>5. Diversion of drains/ river needs elaboration in form of length, quantity and quality of water to be diverted.</li> <li>6. Details of mineral reserves, geological status of the study area and the seams to be worked, ultimate working depth and progressive stage-wise working scheme until the end of mine life should be provided on the basis of the approved rated capacity and calendar plans of production from the approved Mining Plan. Geological maps and sections should be included.</li> <li>7. The Progressive mine development and Conceptual Final Mine Closure Plan should also be shown in figures. Details of mine plan and mine closure plan approval of Competent Authority should be furnished for green field and expansion projects.</li> <li>8. Details of mining methods, technology, equipment to be used, etc., rationale for selection of specified technology and equipment proposed to be used vis-à-vis the potential impacts should be provided.</li> <li>8. Impact of mining on hydrology, modification of natural drainage, diversion and channeling of the existing rivers/water courses flowing though the ML and adjoining the lease/project and the impact on the existing users and impacts of mining operations thereon.</li> <li>9. A detailed Site plan of the mine showing the proposed break-up of the land for mining operations such as the quarry area, OB dumps, green belt, safety zone, undisturbed area -if any, and landscape features such as existing roads, drains/natural water bodies to be left undisturbed along with any natural drainage adjoining the lease/project areas, and modification of thereof in terms of construction of embankments/bunds, proposed diversion/ rechanneling of the water courses, etc., approach roads, major haul roads, etc should be indicated.</li> <li>10. Study on the existing flora and fauna in the study area (10km) should be carried out by an institution of relevant discipline.</li> <li>11. The list of flora and fauna duly authenticated separately for the core and study area and a statement clearly specifying whether the study area forms a part of the migratory corridor of any</li> </ol>

endangered fauna should be given. If the study area has endangered flora and fauna, or if the area is occasionally visited or used as a habitat by Schedule-I species, or if the project falls within 10 km of an ecologically sensitive area, or used as a migratory corridor then a Comprehensive Conservation Plan along with the appropriate budgetary provision should be prepared and submitted with EIA-EMP Report; and comments/observation from the CWLW of the State Govt. should also be obtained and furnished.

12. One-season (other than monsoon) primary baseline data on environmental quality - air (PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and heavy metals such as Hg, Pb, Cr, As, etc), noise, water (surface and groundwater), soil - along with one-season met data coinciding with the same season for AAQ collection period should be provided. The detail of NABL/ MoEF&CC certification of the respective laboratory and NABET accreditation of the consultant to be provided.
13. Map (1: 50, 000 scale) of the study area (core and buffer zone) showing the location of various sampling stations superimposed with location of habitats, other industries/mines, polluting sources, should be provided. The number and location of the sampling stations in both core and buffer zones should be selected on the basis of size of lease/project area, the proposed impacts in the downwind (air)/downstream (surface water)/groundwater regime (based on flow). One station should be in the upwind/upstream/nonimpact/non-polluting area as a control station.
14. The monitoring should be as per CPCB guidelines and parameters for water testing for both ground water and surface water as per ISI standards and CPCB classification wherever applicable. Observed values should be provided along with the specified standards.
15. For proper baseline air quality assessment, Wind rose pattern in the area should be reviewed and accordingly location of AAMSQ shall be planned by the collection of air quality data by adequate monitoring stations in the downwind areas. Monitoring location for collecting baseline data should cover overall the 10 km buffer zone i.e. dispersed in 10 km buffer area.
16. In case of expansion, the displayed data of CAAQMS and its comparison with the monitoring data to be provided.
17. A detailed traffic study along with presence of habitation in 100 mts distance from both side of road, the impact on the air quality with its proper measures and plan of action with timeline for widening of road. The project will increase the no. of vehicle along the road which will indirectly contribute to carbon emission so what will be the compensatory action plan should be clearly spell out in EIA/ EMP report.
18. The socio-economic study to conducted with actual survey report and a comparative assessment to be provided from the census data should be provided in EIA/ EMP report also occupational status & economic status of the study area and what economically project will contribute should be clearly mention.
19. The Ecology and biodiversity study should also indicate the likely impact of change in forest area for surface infrastructural development or mining activity in relation to the climate change of that area and what will be the compensatory measure to be adopted by PP to minimize the impact of forest diversion.
20. Baseline data on the health of the population in the impact zone and measures for occupational health and safety of the personnel and manpower for the mine should be submitted.
21. Impact of proposed project/activity on hydrological regime of the area shall be assessed and report be submitted.
22. Impact of mining and water abstraction from the mine on the hydrogeology and groundwater regime within the core zone and 10 km buffer zone including long-term monitoring measures should be provided. Details of rainwater harvesting and measures for recharge of groundwater should be reflected in case there is a declining trend of groundwater availability and/or if the area falls within dark/grey zone.
23. Study on land subsidence including modeling for prediction, mitigation/prevention of subsidence, continuous monitoring measures, and safety issues should be carried out.
24. PP shall submit design details of all Air Pollution control equipment (APCEs) to be implemented as part of Environment Management Plan vis-à-vis reduction in concentration of emission for each APCEs Site specific Impact assessment with its mitigation measures, Risk Assessment and Disaster Preparedness and Management Plan should be provided.
25. Impact of choice of mining method, technology, selected use of machinery and impact on air

- quality, mineral transportation, etc, Impact of blasting, noise and vibrations should be provided.
26. Impacts of mineral transportation within the mining area and outside the lease/ project along with flowchart indicating the specific areas generating fugitive emissions should be provided. Impacts of transportation, handling, transfer of mineral and waste on air quality etc, management plan for maintenance of HEMM and other machinery/equipment should be given. Details of various facilities such as rest areas for workers should also be provided.
  27. The number and efficiency of mobile/static water sprinkling system along the main mineral transportation road inside the mine, approach roads to the mine and also the frequency of their use in impacting air quality should be provided.
  28. Conceptual Final Mine Closure Plan and post mining land use and restoration of land/habitat to the premining status should be provided. A Plan for the ecological restoration of the mined out area and post mining land use should be prepared with detailed cost provisions. Impact and management of wastes and issues of re-handling (wherever applicable) and backfilling and progressive mine closure and reclamation should be furnished.
  29. Cost of EMP (capital and recurring) should be included in the project cost and for progressive and final mine closure plan.
  30. Corporate Environment Responsibility:
  31. a) The Company must have a well laid down Environment Policy approved by the Board of Directors.
  32. b) Environment Management Cell and its responsibilities to be clearly spell out in EIA/ EMP report
  33. Status of any litigations/ court cases filed/pending on the project should be provided. PP shall submit clarification from PCCF that mine does not falls under corridors of any National Park and Wildlife Sanctuary with certified map showing distance of nearest sanctuary.
  34. In case of expansion of the proposal, the status of the work done as per mining plan and approved mine closure plan shall be detailed in EIA/ EMP report
  35. Details on Public Hearing should cover the information relating to notices issued in the newspaper, proceedings/minutes of Public Hearing, the points raised by the general public and commitments made by the proponent and the time bound action proposed with budgets in suitable time frame. These details should be presented in a tabular form. If the Public Hearing is in the regional language, an authenticated English Translation of the same should be provided.
  36. PP shall carry out survey through drone highlighting the ground reality for atleast 10 minutes Detailed Chronology of the project starting from the first lease deed allotted/Block allotment/ Land acquired to its No. of renewals, CTO /CTE with details of no. renewals, previous EC(s) granted details and its compliance details, NOC details from various Govt. bodies like Forest NOC(s), CGWA permissions, Power permissions, etc as per the requisites respectively to be furnished in tabular form.
  37. The first page of the EIA/ EMP report must mention the peak capacity production, area, detail of PP, Consultant (NABET accreditation) and Laboratory (NABL / MoEF & CC certification)
  38. The compliances of ToR must be properly cited with respective chapter section and page no in tabular form and also mention sequence of the respective ToR complied within the EIA-EMP report in all the chapter's section.

### 3.4. Agenda Item No 4:

#### 3.4.1. Details of the proposal

<b>Manoj Kumar Agrawal by MANOJ AGRAWAL located at SURGUJA,CHHATTISGARH</b>			
<b>Proposal For</b>		Application for amendment in ToR (for categories A & B1)/Amendment in EC (for category B2)- Form-3	
<b>Proposal No</b>	<b>File No</b>	<b>Submission Date</b>	<b>Activity (Schedule Item)</b>

SIA/CG/MIN/55121 7/2025		21/12/2025	Mining of minerals (1(a))
----------------------------	--	------------	---------------------------

### 3.4.2. Deliberations by the committee in previous meetings

<p><b>Date of SEAC 1 :09/01/2026</b></p> <p><b>Deliberations of SEAC 1 :</b></p> <p>The committee found that all other documents related to the project mention the lease area in Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, District - Surguja. Due to some technical error during the filling of the CAF, the form incorrectly mentioned Village - Chatakpur, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Balrampur.</p> <p>After reviewing the relevant documents, the committee unanimously decided that in the ToR Identification Number TO24B0108CG5722349N issued on 19/12/2025, the location should be read as Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, District - Surguja instead of Village - Chatakpur, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Balrampur.</p>
---

### 3.4.3. Deliberations by the SEIAA in current meetings

<p>SEIAA in its 226h Meeting held on 23/02/2026 perused the case and minutes of the 730th Meeting of SEAC-1, Dated 09/01/2026.</p> <p>It is noted that Original application is for amendment in ToR.</p> <p>The committee found that all other documents related to the project mention the lease area in Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, District - Surguja. Due to some technical error during the filling of the CAF, the form incorrectly mentioned Village - Chatakpur, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Balrampur. After deliberation, SEIAA unanimously accepted the recommendation of SEAC-1 and decided to amendment in ToR After reviewing the relevant documents, the authority unanimously decided that in the ToR Identification Number TO24B0108CG5722349N issued on 19/12/2025, the location should be read as Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, District - Surguja instead of Village - Chatakpur, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Balrampur.</p> <p><b>All the conditions/terms of reference (ToR) enumerated and laid down by SEAC-1 in their recommendation are to be strictly followed by all concerned in letter and spirit.</b></p>
--

### 3.4.4. Recommendation of SEIAA

Approved
----------

### 3.4.5. Details of Terms of Reference

#### 3.4.5.1. Specific

Specific Condition	
1.	All the terms and conditions mentioned in the earlier Terms of Reference (ToR) issued by SEAC, Chhattisgarh vide ToR Identification Number TO24B0108CG5722349N dated 19/12/2025, shall remain same.

### 3.5. Agenda Item No 5:

#### 3.5.1. Details of the proposal

Mahamaya Traders Prop. - Santosh Kumar Agrawal by SANTOSH AGRAWAL located at SURGUJA,CHHAT
--

<b>TISGARH</b>			
<b>Proposal For</b>		Application for amendment in ToR (for categories A & B1)/Amendme nt in EC (for category B2)- Form-3	
<b>Proposal No</b>	<b>File No</b>	<b>Submission Date</b>	<b>Activity (Schedule Item)</b>
SIA/CG/MIN/557751/2 025		21/12/2025	Mining of minerals (1(a))

### 3.5.2. Deliberations by the committee in previous meetings

<b>Date of SEAC 1 :09/01/2026</b>		
<b>Deliberations of SEAC 1 :</b>		
Details of ToR	Date: 19/12/2024 ToR Identification No. - TO24B0108CG5433511N Khasra no.- 1/30, 1/31, 1/33 & 1/41 Area - 1.5 Ha. Capacity - 25,448 TPA	
Reason for amendment	Project proponent requested to amendment in Terms of Reference f or the actual project location, Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, Dis trict - Surguja, instead of the issued project location, Village - Bhelai, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Surguja.	
<b>Amendment - For ToR Validity Extension:</b>		
<b>Point</b>	<b>Amendment Desired</b>	<b>Remark/ Reason</b>
The Terms of Reference (ToR) have been issued for Village - Bhelai, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Surguja.	Terms of Reference (ToR) should b e issued for Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, District - Surguja	Due to technical error duri ng CAF filling.
<p>After observing the files and documents, the committee found that all other documents related to the project mention the lease area in Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, District - Surguja. Due to some technical error during the filling of the CAF, the form incorrectly mentioned Village - Bhelai, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Surguja.</p> <p>After reviewing the relevant documents, the committee unanimously decided that in the ToR Identification Number TO24B0108CG5433511N issued on 17/12/2024, the location should be read as Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, District - Surguja, instead of Village - Bhelai, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Surguja.</p>		

### 3.5.3. Deliberations by the SEIAA in current meetings

<p>SEIAA in its 226h Meeting held on 23/02/2026 perused the case and minutes of the 730th Meeting of SEAC-1, Dated 09/01/2026.</p> <p>It is noted that Original application is for amendment in ToR.</p> <p>The committee found that all other documents related to the project mention the lease area in Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, District - Surguja. Due to some technical error during the filling of the CAF, the form incorrectly mentioned Village - Bhelai, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Sarguja. After deliberation, SEIAA unanimously accepted the recommendation of SEAC-1 and decided to grant amendment in ToR. After reviewing the</p>
--

relevant documents, the authority unanimously decided that in the ToR Identification Number TO24B0108CG5433511N issued on 17/12/2024, the location should be read as Village - Changori, Tehsil - Lundra, District - Surguja instead of Village - Bhelai, Tehsil - Rajpur, District - Surguja.  
**All the conditions/terms of reference enumerated and laid down by SEAC-1 in their recommendation are to be strictly followed by all concerned in letter and spirit.**

### 3.5.4. Recommendation of SEIAA

Approved

### 3.5.5. Details of Terms of Reference

#### 3.5.5.1. Specific

##### Specific Conditions:

- |    |   |
|----|---|
| 1. | All the terms and conditions mentioned in the earlier Terms of Reference (ToR) issued by SEAC, Chhattisgarh vide ToR Identification Number TO24B0108CG5433511N dated 17/12/2024, shall remain same. |
|----|---|

### 4. Any Other Item(s)

N/A

### 5. List of Attendees

Sr. No.	Name	Designation	Email ID	Remarks
1	Shri Polisetty Venkat Narsinga Rao	Chairman, SEIAA	nar*****@gmail.com	
2	Dr. Shailesh Kumar Jadhav	Member, SEIAA	Jad*****@gmail.com	
3	Shri Devendra Singh Bhardwaj	Member Secretary, SEIAA	dbh*****@ord.gov.in	