



सत्यमेव जयते

File No: 10649
Government of India
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
(Issued by the State Environment Impact Assessment
Authority(SEIAA), TAMIL NADU)



Dated **01/07/2024**



To,
ARUMUGAM MAHESWARI
ARUMUGAM MAHESWARI
No. 2/30, VinayagarKovil Street, Palathurai, Madukkarai Taluk, Coimbatore District, Tamilnadu, Madukkarai ,
COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU, 641105
maheshwarisurenran1975@gmail.com

Sub: Rejection of EC to the proposed Project under the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof -regarding

Sir,
This is in reference to your application submitted to SEIAA vide proposal number SIA/TN/MIN/413633/2023 dated 25/06/2024 for prior EC to the project under the provision of the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof

The particulars of the proposal are as below :

(i) EC Identification No.	EC23C0108TN5523250N
(ii) File No.	10649
(iii) Clearance Type	Fresh EC
(iv) Category	B2
(v) Project/Activity Included Schedule No.	1(a) Mining of minerals S.Maheswari Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry Project Extent:1.75.5 S.F.Nos. 95/3B1 & 100/4 of Karunsamigoundanpalayam Village, Madukkarai Taluk, Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu State.
(vi) Name of Project	ARUMUGAM MAHESWARI COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU
(vii) Name of Company/Organization	SEIAA
(viii) Location of Project (District, State)	no
(ix) Issuing Authority	
(xi) Applicability of General Conditions	

1. In view of the particulars given in the Para 1 above, the project proposal interalia including Form-2(Part A and B) were submitted to the SEIAA for an appraisal by the SEAC under the provision of EIA notification 2006 and its subsequent amendments.

2. The SEAC noted the following:

i. The project proponent, **Tmt. S. Maheswari** has applied for Environmental Clearance for the Proposed Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry lease over an extent 1.75.5 Ha at S.F.Nos. 95/3B1 & 100/4 of Karunsamigoundanpalayam Village, Madukkarai Taluk, Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu.

ii. The proponent has applied under 'B2' category.

iii. Earlier the proposal was placed in the 454th SEAC meeting held on 21.03.2024. Based on the presentation made by the proponent and from the SEIAA office records, the Committee noted that the following two quarries are located within a radius of 500m from the proposed quarry of Tmt.S.Maheswari.

S. No	Name	SEIAA File No.	Online No.	Ext.	S.F.No. & Village
1	Thiru.P.Varadharaj	8349	SIA/TN/MIN/60829/2021	1.23	91/1A of Karunsamigoundanpalayam
2	Thiru.M.Mohamed Nizam	10350	SIA/TN/MIN/440551/2023	4.42	91/1B, 91/1C, 91/1D(P), 91/1E, 91/1F(P), 92/1B, 92/1C, 92/1D, 92/1E, 92/1F & 95/1C of Karunsamigoundanpalayam

However, the above mentioned two quarries were not included in the 500m cluster letter dated.25.10.2022 issued by the Assistant Director, Dept. of Geology & Mining. If the quarries of Thiru.P.Varadharaj & Thiru.M.Mohamed Nizam are taken into account, then the current proposal has to be appraised under 'B1' category as per the EIA Notification, 2006 and its subsequent amendments since the total area of cluster exceeds 5 Ha.

In view of the above, the Committee decided to obtain a clarification from the concerned AD/DD of Dept. of Geology & Mining on the 500m cluster letter issued.

iv. Subsequently, the proponent furnished a revised 500m cluster letter obtained from the Deputy Director, Dept. of G&M dated.16.05.2024.

v. In view of the above, the proposal is again placed in this 472nd SEAC meeting.

The Committee noted that as per the revised cluster letter submitted by the proponent, the total extent of the existing and proposed quarries within the radius of 500m **totals to 10.97.5 Ha and hence the proposal falls under 'B1' Category.**

Hence, the SEAC decided to close as 'not recommended' as PP has applied under B2 category instead of B1 category. The proponent may submit a fresh application for ToR under 'B1' category.

3. Hence, the SEAC decided to close as 'not recommended' as PP has applied under B2 category instead of B1 category. The proponent may submit a fresh application for ToR under 'B1' category.

4.The authority, after deliberations, accepted the decision of SEAC and decided not to recommend this proposal submitted under 'B2' category. Hence, the proposal SIA/TN/MIN/413633/2023 dated:07.01.2023 submitted under 'B2' category shall be closed and recorded.

5. This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority. As Per section 16,sub-section(I) of NGT Act,2010, If any person aggrieved by this order of refusing to grant environmental clearance for carrying out any activity may prefer an appeal to the tribunal, within a period of thirty days from the date on which the order or decision or direction or determination is communicated to him.

Additional Terms of Reference

N/A

Annexure 1

Plant/equipment configuration and capacity

Plant / Equipment / Facility	Configuration	Remarks if any
NA	NA	NA

Send Approval Copy To (In case of multiple use comma as separator)

