



सत्यमेव जयते

File No: 10681
Government of India
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
(Issued by the State Environment Impact Assessment
Authority(SEIAA), TAMIL NADU)



Dated 10/05/2024



To,

GEORGE MUTHIAH
GEORGE MUTHIAH
Thiru.M.George Rajan S/o.Shri.M.N.Muthaiya, AnnaiIllam, Monvilagam, Reethapuram Post Kanniyakumari-
District -629159, , KANNIYAKUMARI, TAMIL NADU, 629159
georgerajanrsg@gmail.com

Sub: Rejection of Environmental Clearance(EC) to the proposed Project under the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof -regarding.

Sir,

This is in reference to your application submitted to SEIAA-TN vide proposal number SIA/TN/MIN/460565/2024 dated 29/04/2024 for Rejection of the project S.F.No 721/2,721/3A& 725/3B(P) of Mecode Village ,Thiruvattur Taluk, Kanniyakumari District,Tamil Nadu of prior Environmental Clearance(EC) to the project under the provision of the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof.

The particulars of the proposal are as below :

(i) EC Identification No.	EC24C0108TN5501484N
(ii) File No.	10681
(iii) Clearance Type	Fresh ToR
(iv) Category	B2
(v) Project/Activity Included Schedule No.	1(a) Mining of minerals
(vi) Name of Project	Thiru. M. George Rajan Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry over an extent of 1.54.61 Ha
(vii) Name of Company/Organization	GEORGE MUTHIAH
(viii) Location of Project (District, State)	KANNIYAKUMARI, TAMIL NADU
(ix) Issuing Authority	SEIAA
(xi) Applicability of General Conditions	no

1. In view of the particulars given in the Para 1 above, the project proposal interalia including Form-1(Part A and B)

were submitted to the Ministry for an appraisal by the SEAC under the provision of EIA notification 2006 and its subsequent amendments.

2. The above-mentioned proposal has been considered by SEIAA in the meeting held on [24/04/2024]. The minutes of the meeting and all the Application and documents submitted [(viz. Form-1 Part A, Part B)] are available on PARIVESH portal which can be accessed by scanning the QR Code above.
3. The SEIAA has examined the proposal in accordance with the Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) Notification, 2006 & further amendments thereto and after accepting the recommendations of the Expert Appraisal Committee hereby decided Rejection Environmental Clearance for instant proposal of M/s. Thiru.M.George Rajan under the provisions of EIA Notification, 2006 and as amended thereof.

The authority noted that the proposal was placed for appraisal in the 455th meeting of SEAC held on 27.03.2024. During the presentation, the SEAC had observed the following:

1. The proposed site is at a distance of approximately 5km from Kanniyakumari Wildlife Sanctuary.
2. The proposed quarry site is located in an ecologically sensitive area of Western Ghats and is surrounded by thick vegetation with rich biodiversity.
3. Further, the proposed mine lease area is in the top of a hill which appears to be a watershed which will in turn have implications on the contribution of surface runoff.
4. There is a Highway located at a distance of 140 m from the foothill of the proposed mine lease area which falls within 500 m danger zone as stipulated by the DGMS for the blasting operations and it could possibly pose a threat to the vehicles plying on the Highway.
5. Bharat Petroleum bunk is located at a distance of 200m from the proposed quarry site.
6. Also, there is a CSI Church situated at 320m and Valiyattumugam Issaki Amman Temple at 300m from the proposed quarry site.
7. The quarrying operation will cause depletion of fertile topsoil which will lead to environmental degradation to flora and fauna in and around the area.

Based on the documents and presentation made by the proponent, SEAC after detailed discussions decided not to recommend this project proposal considering all the above factors.

Considering the sensitivity of the area, the Authority, after detailed discussions, decided to accept the decision of SEAC and decided to request Member Secretary, SEIAA-TN to grant rejection letter to proponent as per the SEAC minutes. Further, Authority decided to close and record this proposal.

4.This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority. As Per section 16,sub-section(I) of NGT Act,2010, If any person aggrieved by this order of refusing to grant environmental clearance for carrying out any activity may prefer an appeal to the tribunal, within a period of thirty days from the date on which the order or decision or direction or determination is communicated to him.

Additional Terms of Reference

N/A

Annexure 1

Plant/equipment configuration and capacity

Plant / Equipment / Facility	Configuration	Remarks if any
NA	NA	NA

Details of Products & By-products

Name of the product /By-product	Product / By-product	Quantity	Unit	Mode of Transport / Transmission	Remarks (eg. CAS number)
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Send Approval Copy To (In case of multiple use comma as separator)

