



सत्यमेव जयते

File No: 10641  
Government of India  
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change  
(Issued by the State Environment Impact Assessment  
Authority(SEIAA), TAMIL NADU)

\*\*\*



Dated 07/05/2024



To,  
JAWAHARGOUNDER ANURADHA  
JAWAHARGOUNDER ANURADHA  
No. 1/172, Ichipatti Village, Palladam Taluk, Tiruppur District. , Palladam, TIRUPPUR, TAMIL NADU,  
641668  
srisivasakthibuildersst@gmail.com

**Sub:** Rejection of Environmental Clearance(EC) to the proposed Project under the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof -regarding.

**Sir,**  
This is in reference to your application submitted to SEIAA -TN vide proposal number SIA/TN/MIN/457180/2023 dated 29/04/2024 for Rejection of prior Environmental clearance(EC) to the project under the provision of the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof.

The particulars of the proposal are as below :

(i) EC Identification No.	EC23C0108TN5829730N
(ii) File No.	10641
(iii) Clearance Type	Environmental Clearance(EC)
(iv) Category	B2
(v) Project/Activity Included Schedule No.	1(a) Mining of minerals K.J.Anuradha, Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry Extent: 2.37.0ha S.F.Nos. 191/1A2 (P), 191/1B & 192/1 of Velampalayam Village, Palladam Taluk, Tiruppur District.
(vi) Name of Project	JAWAHARGOUNDER ANURADHA
(vii) Name of Company/Organization	TIRUPPUR, TAMIL NADU
(viii) Location of Project (District, State)	SEIAA
(ix) Issuing Authority	no
(xi) Applicability of General Conditions	

1. In view of the particulars given in the Para 1 above, the project proposal interalia including Form-1(Part A and B) were

submitted to the SEIAA-TN for an appraisal by the Expert Appraisal Committee SEAC in the SEIAA -TN under the provision of EIA notification 2006 and its subsequent amendments.

2. The above-mentioned proposal has been considered by SEAC in the meeting held on 23/04/2024. The minutes of the meeting and all the Application and documents submitted [(viz. Form-1 Part A, Part B)] are available on PARIVESH portal which can be accessed by scanning the QR Code above.
3. The SEIAA has examined the proposal in accordance with the Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) Notification, 2006 & further amendments thereto and after accepting the recommendations of the SEAC hereby decided Rejection of EC for instant proposal of Tmt. JAWAHARGOUNDER ANURADHA under the provisions of EIA Notification, 2006 and as amended thereof.

The authority noted that the proposal was placed for appraisal in the 454<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 21.03.2024. Based on the presentation and document furnished by the proponent, SEAC noted the following.

Hence, this proposal attracts the following legal implications:

*As per the amendment to Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 issued vide G.O.Ms.No.88 Industries (MMC.1) Department dated 18.10.2002, sub-rule 1-A has been inserted to rule 36 which reads as follows: “(a). No lease shall be granted for quarrying **stone** within 300 meters (three hundred meters) from any **inhabited site**.”*

*The terminology of ‘stone’ and ‘inhabited site’ are defined in sub-rule of TNMMCR 1959 as follows:*

*“(ii-a) ‘stone’ shall mean rough stones including khandas, boulders, size-reduced (broken or crushed) materials including metal jelly, ballasts, mill stones, hand chakais and building and road construction stones other than black, red, pink, grey, green, white or other coloured or multi coloured granites or any other rocks suitable for use as ornamental and decorative stones”*

*(iii) ‘inhabited site’ shall mean a village site or town site or a house site as referred to in the revenue records or a house site or layout approved by a Local Body or Town or Country or Metropolitan Planning Authority, where the said Body or Authority is created under a statute and empowered to approve such an area as a house site or layout area.*

Similarly, Rule 36 (1-A) (c) also indicates

*“... No new layout, building plans falling within 300 metres from any quarry should be given approval by any agency unless prior clearance of the Director of Geology and Mining is obtained. On receipt of proposals for according clearance, the Director of Geology and Mining (DGM) shall decide upon the continuance or closure, as the case may be of any quarry which is situated within 300 metres from the now layout, building sought for such ,clearance....”.*

**In view of the above reasons, the SEAC decided that grant of Environmental Clearance for this proposal will be detrimental to the people working in the Spinning Mill within 300m from the proposed mine lease area and consequently decided not to recommend for grant of Environmental clearance.**

Further, the Authority noted that there are no existing quarries and there are two proposed quarries including this quarry within 500m radius as per the cluster certificate. Considering the safety and security of the people working in the Spinning Mill located at a distance of 170m, the Authority, after detailed discussions, decided to accept the decision of SEAC and decided to request Member Secretary, SEIAA-TN to grant rejection letter to proponent as per the SEAC minutes. Further, Authority decided to close and record this proposal.

4.This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority. As per section 16, sub-section (I) of NGT Act, 2010, if any person aggrieved by this order of refusing to grant environmental clearance for carrying out any activity **may prefer an appeal to the Tribunal, within a period of thirty days from the date on which the order or decision or direction or determination is communicated to him.**

#### **Additional Terms of Reference**

N/A

**Annexure 1**

#### **Plant/equipment configuration and capacity**

Plant / Equipment / Facility	Configuration	Remarks if any
NA	NA	NA

**Details of Products & By-products**

Name of the product /By-product	Product / By-product	Quantity	Unit	Mode of Transport / Transmission	Remarks (eg. CAS number)
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Send Approval Copy To (In case of multiple use comma as separator)**

