



सत्यमेव जयते

File No: OL/REAPP\_EC/MIN/RAIPUR/4023  
Government of India  
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change  
(Issued by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority(SEIAA),  
CHHATTISGARH)

\*\*\*



Date 11/06/2025



To,  
Saket Jain  
Limestone Quarry, Dulna  
Village- GOBRA NAWAPARA, TEHSIL-ABHANPUR, DISTRICT- RAIPUR  
saket.envi111@gmail.com

**Subject:** Rejection of Environmental Clearance (EC) to the proposed Project under the EIA Notification 2006- and as amended thereof -regarding.

**Sir/Madam,**

This is in reference to your application submitted to Ministry vide proposal number SIA/CG/MIN/506724/2024 dated null for Rejection of prior Environmental Clearance (EC) to the project under the provision of the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof.

2. The particulars of the proposal are as below :

(i) EC Identification No.	EC24C0108CG5125058N
(ii) File No.	OL/REAPP_EC/MIN/RAIPUR/4023
(iii) Clearance Type	Fresh EC (Mining)
(iv) Category	B2
(v) Project/Activity Included Schedule No.	1(a)
(vii) Name of Project	Limestone Quarry, Village Dulna, Area – 2.6 Ha. Project Proponent - Shri Saket Jain
(viii) Name of Company/Organization	SAKET JAIN
(ix) Location of Project (District, State)	RAIPUR, , CHHATTISGARH,
(x) Issuing Authority	SEIAA
(xi) Applicability of General Conditions as per EIA Notification, 2006	No

**Deliberations of SEAC:** The Committee observed and found the following facts:On the condition of inclusion of Raipur district in the justification of SEAC-3 by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India, New Delhi. The project proponent has excavated some part of the 7.5 meter wide safety belt area and also excavated outside the mine lease area. Project Proponent has been submitted penalty amount 89,000/- for illegal mining, quantity of minerals approx 200 ton in mining department, which falls in the category of violation. Ministry of Environment, Forest

and Climate Change issued Standard Operating Procedure vide Office Memorandum dated 07.07.2021 regarding identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification 2006 in compliance to order of Hon'ble National Green Tribunal in O.A. No.34/2020. In this Office Memorandum para 11 (B) (i) following is mentioned:"As per extant regulations at the time of scoping, if it is viewed that the project activity is otherwise permissible, Terms of Reference (TOR) shall be issued with directions to complete the impact assessment studies & submit Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report & Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in a time bound manner." As per the above OM and the applied case is a violation, project proponent needs to apply in TOR, but project Proponent applied directly for Environment Clearance. After discussion by the Committee, as per the above facts, it was unanimously decided to reject the applied case from the list and recommended to apply for TOR as per the Notification/Office Memorandum issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change from time to time.

**Deliberations of SEIAA:** SEIAA in its 192nd Meeting held on 20/05/2025 perused the case and minutes of the 597th meeting of SEAC-3 held on 26/03/2025. The project proponent has excavated some part of the 7.5 meter wide safety belt area and also excavated outside the mine lease area. Project Proponent has submitted that a penalty amount of Rs89,000/- for illegal mining of 200 tons of minerals in mining department, which falls in the category of violation. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change issued Standard Operating Procedure vide Office Memorandum dated 07.07.2021 regarding identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification 2006 in compliance to order of Hon'ble National Green Tribunal in O.A. No.34/2020. In this Office Memorandum para 11 (B) (i) following is mentioned:- "As per extant regulations at the time of scoping, if it is viewed that the project activity is otherwise permissible, Terms of Reference (TOR) shall be issued with directions to complete the impact assessment studies & submit Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report & Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in a time bound manner." As per the above OM and the applied case falls in the category of violation, the project proponent needs to apply for TOR, but the project Proponent has applied directly for Environment Clearance. Based on that SEAC recommended to reject the application. SEIAA, Chhattisgarh unanimously accepted the recommendation of SEAC-3, Chhattisgarh and decided to reject the applied case.

**Send Approval Copy To (In case of multiple use comma as separator)**

