



सत्यमेव जयते

File No: 10557
Government of India
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
(Issued by the State Environment Impact Assessment
Authority(SEIAA), TAMIL NADU)



Dated 07/05/2024



To,

THOPPILKUNNATH SULAIMAN
THOPPILKUNNATH SULAIMAN
Thiru.S.Sulaiman, Care taker M/s.Musiri Blue Metals and Sands Pvt Ltd, No.3/60, Nadu Theru, Muthampatti,
Musiri Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District- 621 211., Tiruchirappalli, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI, TAMIL NADU,
Tiruchirappalli, 621211
thoppilkunnathsulaiman@gmail.com

Sub: Rejection of Environmental Clearance (EC) to the proposed Project under the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof -regarding.

Sir,
This is in reference to your application submitted to SEIAA-TN vide proposal number SIA/TN/MIN/452553/2023 dated 12/04/2024 for Rejection of prior ECto the project under the provision of the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof.

The particulars of the proposal are as below :

(i) EC Identification No.	EC23C0108TN5949501N
(ii) File No.	10557
(iii) Clearance Type	Fresh EC
(iv) Category	B2
(v) Project/Activity Included Schedule No.	1(a) Mining of minerals
(vi) Name of Project	Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry of Thiru. S.Sulaiman Care taker M/s.Musiri Blue Metals and Sands Pvt Ltd
(vii) Name of Company/Organization	THOPPILKUNNATH SULAIMAN
(viii) Location of Project (District, State)	TIRUCHIRAPPALLI, TAMIL NADU
(ix) Issuing Authority	SEIAA
(xi) Applicability of General Conditions	no

1. In view of the particulars given in the Para 1 above, the project proposal interalia including Form-1(Part A and B) were submitted to SEIAA under the provision of EIA notification 2006 and its subsequent amendments.

2. The above-mentioned proposal has been considered by Expert Appraisal Committee of SEAC in the meeting held on 26/03/2024. The minutes of the meeting and all the Application and documents submitted are available on PARIVESH portal which can be accessed by scanning the QR Code above.
3. The SEIAA, in its meeting held on 26/03/2024, based on information & clarifications provided by the project proponent and after detailed deliberations recommended the proposal for Rejection of EC under the provision of EIA Notification, 2006 and as amended thereof subject to stipulation of specific and general conditions as detailed in the point below.
4. The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 447th SEAC meeting held on 22.02.2024. Based on the presentation and document furnished by the proponent, SEAC noted the following. Hence, this proposal attracts the following legal implications: As per the amendment to Tamil Nadu Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1959 issued vide G.O.Ms.No.88 Industries (MMC.1) Department dated 18.10.2002, sub-rule 1-A has been inserted to rule 36 which reads as follows:
- “(a). No lease shall be granted for quarrying stone within 300 meters (three hundred meters) from any inhabited site.” The terminology of ‘stone’ and ‘inhabited site’ are defined in sub-rule of TNMMCR 1959 as follows:
- “(ii-a) ‘stone’ shall mean rough stones including khandas, boulders, size-reduced (broken or crushed) materials including metal jelly, ballasts, mill stones, hand chakais and building and road construction stones other than black, red, pink, grey, green, white or other coloured or multi coloured granites or any other rocks suitable for use as ornamental and decorative stones”
- (iii) ‘inhabited site’ shall mean a village site or town site or a house site as referred to in the revenue records or a house site or layout approved by a Local Body or Town or Country or Metropolitan Planning Authority, where the said Body or Authority is created under a statute and empowered to approve such an area as a house site or layout area. Similarly, Rule 36 (1-A) (c) also indicates “....
- No new layout, building plans falling within 300 meters from any quarry should be given approval by any agency unless prior clearance of the Director of Geology and Mining is obtained. On receipt of proposals for according clearance, the Director of Geology and Mining (DGM) shall decide upon the continuance or closure, as the case may be of any quarry which is situated within 300 metres from the now layout, building sought for such , clearance....”.
- In view of the above reasons, the SEAC decided that grant of Environmental Clearance for this proposal will be detrimental to the people living in the habitation within 300m from the proposed mine lease area and consequently decided not to recommend for grant of Environmental clearance.**
- The Authority, after discussions, accepted the decision of SEAC, rejected the proposal and decided to request Member Secretary, SEIAA-TN to grant rejection letter to proponent as per the 447th SEAC minutes. Further, Authority decided to close and record this proposal.
5. The SEIAA has examined the proposal in accordance with the Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) Notification, 2006 & further amendments thereto and after accepting the recommendations of the Expert Appraisal Committee hereby decided Rejection EC for instant proposal of M/s. THOPPILKUNNATH SULAIMAN under the provisions of EIA Notification, 2006 and as amended thereof.
- 6. This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority. As Per section 16, sub-section(I) of NGT Act, 2010, If any person aggrieved by this order of refusing to grant environmental clearance for carrying out any activity may prefer an appeal to the tribunal, within a period of thirty days from the date on which the order or decision or direction or determination is communicated to him.**

Additional Terms of Reference

N/A

Annexure 1

Plant/equipment configuration and capacity

Plant / Equipment / Facility	Configuration	Remarks if any
NA	NA	NA

Details of Products & By-products

Name of the product /By-product	Product / By-product	Quantity	Unit	Mode of Transport / Transmission	Remarks (eg. CAS number)
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Send Approval Copy To (In case of multiple use comma as separator)

