



सत्यमेव जयते

File No: OL/REAPP_EC/MIN/DURG/3876

Government of India

Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change

(Issued by the State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA),
CHHATTISGARH)



Date 11/06/2025



To,

Janaki Verma
M/s Janki Verma Stone Mine
Mohan Nagar Durg , District - Durg , Chhattisgarh
pendritarijanki@gmail.com

Subject: Rejection of Environmental Clearance (EC) to the proposed Project under the EIA Notification 2006- and as amended thereof -regarding.

Sir/Madam,

This is in reference to your application submitted to Ministry vide proposal number SIA/CG/MIN/502895/2024 dated null for Rejection of prior Environmental Clearance (EC) to the project under the provision of the EIA Notification 2006-and as amended thereof.

2. The particulars of the proposal are as below :

(i) EC Identification No.	EC24C0108CG5446462N
(ii) File No.	OL/REAPP_EC/MIN/DURG/3876
(iii) Clearance Type	Fresh EC (Mining)
(iv) Category	B2
(v) Project/Activity Included Schedule No.	1(a)
(vii) Name of Project	M/s Janki Verma Stone Mine
(viii) Name of Company/Organization	janaki verma
(ix) Location of Project (District, State)	DURG, , CHHATTISGARH,
(x) Issuing Authority	SEIAA
(xi) Applicability of General Conditions as per EIA Notification, 2006	No

Deliberations of SEAC: The Committee observed and found the following facts: ittee observed and found the following facts: The project proponent has excavated 3,195.95 ton in the year 2022-23. The project proponent has excavated more than the excavation quantity (3,000 Ton per year) mentioned in the issued environmental clearance, which falls in the category of violation. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change issued Standard Operating Procedure vide Office Memorandum dated 07.07.2021 regarding identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification 2006 in compliance to order of Hon'ble National Green Tribunal in O.A. No.34/2020. In this Office Memorandum para 11 (B) (i) following is mentioned: "As per extant regulations at the time of scoping, if it is viewed that the project activity is

otherwise permissible, Terms of Reference (TOR) shall be issued with directions to complete the impact assessment studies & submit Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report & Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in a time bound manner." As per the above OM and the applied case is a violation, project proponent needs to apply in TOR, but project proponent applied directly for Environment Clearance. After discussion by the Committee, as per the above facts, it was unanimously decided to reject the applied case from the list and recommended to apply for TOR as per the Notification/Office Memorandum issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change from time to time.

Deliberations of SEIAA : SEIAA in its 192nd Meeting held on 20/05/2025 perused the case and minutes of the 599th meeting of SEAC-3 held on 27/03/2025. It is noted that the project proponent has excavated 3,195.95 ton in the year 2022-23. The project proponent has excavated more than the permissible quantity (3,000 Ton per year) mentioned in the environmental clearance. Consequently the case falls in the category of violation. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change issued Standard Operating Procedure vide Office Memorandum dated 07.07.2021 regarding identification and handling of violation cases under EIA Notification 2006 in compliance to order of Hon'ble National Green Tribunal in O.A. No.34/2020. In this Office Memorandum para 11 (B) (i) following is mentioned:- "As per extant regulations at the time of scoping, if it is viewed that the project activity is otherwise permissible, Terms of Reference (TOR) shall be issued with directions to complete the impact assessment studies & submit Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report & Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in a time bound manner." As per the above OM, the applied case falls in the category of violation and hence project proponent needs to apply for TOR, but project proponent has applied directly for Environment Clearance. Based on this SEAC recommended to reject the application. SEIAA, Chhattisgarh unanimously accepted the recommendation of SEAC-3 and decided to reject the applied case.

Send Approval Copy To (In case of multiple use comma as separator)

